

# Textile-Reinforced Concrete for Enhancing the Flexural Performance of Reinforced Concrete Beams in India: Effects of Textile Configuration, Concrete Strength, Reinforcement Ratio, Loading Conditions, and Environmental Exposure

Ragavan A. Parikh<sup>1,\*</sup> Dharti E. Goswami<sup>2</sup>, Rekano Chandra<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Textile Engineering, Faculty of Technology and Engineering, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, India, Email: [ragavan.a.pari8@msubaroda.ac.in](mailto:ragavan.a.pari8@msubaroda.ac.in)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Textile and Fiber Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, India, Email: [dharti.e.gos@textile.iitd.ac.in](mailto:dharti.e.gos@textile.iitd.ac.in)

<sup>3</sup> Department of Textile Engineering, Faculty of Technology and Engineering, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, India, Email: [rekano\\_chandra@msubaroda.ac.in](mailto:rekano_chandra@msubaroda.ac.in)

\* Corresponding Author: Ragavan A. Parikh, [ragavan.a.pari8@msubaroda.ac.in](mailto:ragavan.a.pari8@msubaroda.ac.in)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64440/IJES/EngineeringXX056Y>

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history

Received Jan02, 2026

Revised Jan 11, 2026

Accepted Apr 20, 2026

### Keywords

Textile-reinforced concrete;  
TRC;  
reinforced concrete beams;  
flexural strengthening;  
textile configuration;  
carbon textile;  
E-glass textile;  
reinforcement ratio;  
crack control;  
India.

## ABSTRACT

The deterioration of reinforced concrete (RC) structures caused by aging, environmental exposure, corrosion, excessive loading, construction deficiencies, and changes in service conditions has increased the need for efficient and durable strengthening technologies. Textile-reinforced concrete (TRC) represents a promising cement-based strengthening system in which high-strength textile reinforcement is embedded within a thin layer of fine-grained concrete. Unlike conventional steel-based strengthening systems, TRC can provide corrosion-resistant reinforcement while maintaining compatibility with existing concrete and requiring only a relatively thin strengthening layer. This study investigates the flexural behavior of RC beams strengthened with TRC, with particular emphasis on textile configuration, concrete strength, conventional reinforcement ratio, loading conditions, interfacial treatment, anchorage, polypropylene-fiber modification, and environmental exposure. The experimental database adopted in the study comprises 14 RC beam specimens subjected to four-point bending. The control beams had a cross-section of 120 × 210 mm and a span of 2,000 mm, while the strengthened specimens incorporated one or two layers of hybrid carbon/E-glass textile. The original experimental results indicate that TRC strengthening increased cracking and yielding loads, enhanced ultimate load-carrying capacity, reduced crack width, and improved structural stiffness. The highest recorded ultimate load was 74.6 kN for the two-layer textile specimen with fine-sand treatment, representing approximately a 26.9% increase relative to the mean ultimate capacity of the two control beams. The corresponding yielding load reached 58.8 kN. Surface treatment, textile-layer number, U-shaped shear anchorage, and polypropylene fibers affected different stages of structural behavior, while the use of an interface agent produced comparatively limited changes. A theoretical sectional model based on strain compatibility, equilibrium, and textile tensile constitutive behavior was also considered. The original comparison between calculated and experimental responses showed errors below 5% for yielding and ultimate loads. For the Indian context, environmental exposure is incorporated as an additional design variable, particularly considering chloride-rich coastal environments, carbonation, moisture variation, temperature, and sulfate exposure. The resulting framework provides a basis for adapting TRC strengthening technology to Indian RC infrastructure while emphasizing textile configuration, reinforcement ratio, bond quality, crack control, ductility, and durability.

---

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA](#) license.



---

## 1. Introduction

Reinforced concrete structures are designed to provide long-term structural safety and serviceability[1]; however, their performance inevitably changes during prolonged service. Aging, environmental deterioration, physical actions, excessive loads, inadequate construction, design deficiencies, changes in functional requirements, natural hazards, and accidental events can produce cracking, stiffness reduction, reinforcement deterioration, and loss of load-carrying capacity. [2,3] Consequently, strengthening and rehabilitation have become important components of modern structural engineering[4].

Conventional strengthening techniques can provide substantial increases in structural capacity[5], but they may introduce limitations related to construction thickness, corrosion, compatibility, fire resistance, durability, and installation complexity[6]. Steel-based strengthening systems, for example, require adequate protection against corrosion[7]. Fiber-reinforced polymer systems provide high strength and corrosion resistance but normally rely on organic resin matrices[8], which may create concerns related to temperature, fire, substrate compatibility, and inspection of hidden damage[9].

Textile-reinforced concrete provides an alternative cement-based strengthening technology[10]. TRC combines a fine-grained cementitious matrix with continuous textile reinforcement[11]. The reinforcement can consist of carbon, alkali-resistant glass, basalt, aramid, or other high-performance fibers[12]. Because textile reinforcement is resistant to corrosion compared with conventional steel reinforcement, TRC can be particularly attractive for strengthening structures exposed to aggressive environments[13].

The structural effectiveness of textile-based cementitious composites depends strongly on the interaction between the textile, matrix, and existing concrete[14]. Textile geometry, yarn spacing, number of layers, surface treatment, impregnation, anchorage, matrix properties, and textile-to-matrix bond can significantly influence the overall mechanical response[15]. Previous research has demonstrated that textile configuration and matrix–textile bonding affect strength, ductility, toughness, and crack development[16].

Flexural strengthening of RC beams with textile-based cementitious composites has received increasing attention[17]. Experimental and numerical studies have investigated different textile materials, mortar systems, numbers of layers, and anchorage configurations[18]. Four-point bending has frequently been used to evaluate cracking, stiffness, yielding, ultimate capacity, and failure mechanisms[19].

For India, the issue is particularly relevant because reinforced concrete infrastructure is exposed to substantially different climatic and environmental conditions[20]. Coastal structures can experience chloride exposure, while other regions experience high temperature, seasonal moisture variations, carbonation, sulfate exposure, or combinations of environmental actions[21]. Indian standards and

technical documents recognize the importance of exposure conditions and durability-related properties such as permeability and resistance to chloride ingress[22].

Accordingly, the present study develops an India-oriented framework for evaluating TRC-strengthened RC beams. The principal variables are textile configuration, concrete strength, conventional reinforcement ratio, loading conditions, bond and anchorage, polypropylene-fiber modification, and environmental exposure.

The specific objectives are:

1. To evaluate the effect of TRC strengthening on the flexural behavior of RC beams.
2. To investigate the influence of one and two textile layers.
3. To examine the effects of textile surface treatment.
4. To evaluate the contribution of U-shaped shear pins and hooks.
5. To investigate polypropylene-fiber modification of the fine-grained concrete.
6. To assess the influence of interface-agent application.
7. To quantify changes in cracking load, yielding load, ultimate load, deflection, and crack width.
8. To examine the relationship between textile reinforcement and conventional steel reinforcement.
9. To establish a theoretical framework for calculating the flexural response of TRC-strengthened beams.
10. To extend the framework to Indian environmental exposure conditions.

## 2. Literature Background

### 2.1 Textile-Reinforced Concrete

TRC is a cement-based composite in which continuous textile reinforcement is embedded within a fine-grained cementitious matrix[23]. The use of continuous textile yarns permits reinforcement to be distributed across a thin layer while maintaining a relatively high tensile capacity[24].

The principal advantage of TRC for strengthening is that a relatively thin layer can be placed on an existing concrete surface without substantially modifying the original cross-sectional dimensions[25]. Textile-based strengthening systems can also conform to complex surfaces and can be installed using relatively conventional cement-based construction techniques[26].

However, the mechanical performance of TRC depends on effective stress transfer between the textile and matrix[27]. The complex geometry of multifilament yarns and the interaction between transverse and longitudinal yarns make textile–matrix bonding substantially more complicated than the bond of a conventional reinforcing bar[28].

### 2.2 Flexural Strengthening of RC Beams

For flexural strengthening, the TRC layer is normally placed in the tensile region of the beam[29]. Under increasing bending load, the strengthening system passes through several stages[30]:

1. elastic behavior;
2. cracking of the original concrete;
3. redistribution of tensile stresses;
4. increased participation of the steel reinforcement and textile;
5. yielding of conventional tensile reinforcement;
6. development of large deformation;
7. textile rupture, concrete crushing, or another governing failure mechanism.

The efficiency of strengthening therefore cannot be evaluated solely from ultimate load. Cracking load, crack width, crack spacing, stiffness, ductility, and energy absorption must also be considered[31].

Recent experimental research continues to demonstrate the importance of textile configuration and anchorage[32]. Hybrid anchorage systems have been reported to improve bonding, cracking behavior, ductility, and energy dissipation in TRC-strengthened beams[33].

### **2.3 Bond and Anchorage**

The interface between the existing concrete and TRC layer represents a critical load-transfer zone[34]. Poor bond can result in premature debonding, limiting the utilization of textile tensile capacity[35].

Surface preparation, textile impregnation, sand coating, mechanical anchorage, and shear connectors can therefore influence the effective contribution of TRC[36].

In the present framework, bond is represented through:

- textile surface treatment;
- epoxy impregnation;
- fine-sand or coarse-sand coating;
- interface-agent application;
- U-shaped shear pins;
- U-shaped textile hooks.

### **3. India-Oriented Research Framework**

The proposed framework considers six principal groups of variables.

#### **Table 1. Research variables**

| Variable group         | Main variables                                                                   |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Textile configuration  | Number of textile layers, textile type, mesh geometry, textile surface treatment |
| Concrete properties    | RC concrete strength, fine-grained concrete strength, matrix composition         |
| Reinforcement          | Steel reinforcement ratio, textile reinforcement ratio                           |
| Bond/anchorage         | Epoxy impregnation, sand coating, interface agent, U-shaped pins, U-shaped hooks |
| Loading                | Four-point bending, cracking load, yielding load, ultimate load                  |
| Environmental exposure | Chloride, carbonation, moisture, temperature, sulfate exposure                   |

The environmental component is treated as an **extended design variable**, rather than as a measured experimental variable in the original dataset.

## 4. Materials and Experimental Program

### 4.1 Experimental Specimens

The original experimental program consisted of 14 RC beams.

The beam cross-section was:

$$b \times h = 120 \times 210 \text{ mm}$$

The beam span was 2,000 mm, with a net span of 1,800 mm.

The tensile reinforcement consisted of two 12-mm-diameter HRB335 bars. The concrete cover was 25 mm, including the fine-grained concrete and textile strengthening layer.

The transverse reinforcement consisted of 6.5-mm-diameter HPB235 stirrups at 80-mm spacing, with no stirrups in the pure bending region.

The original concrete was C40 with a measured compressive strength of approximately 45 MPa, while the fine-grained concrete had a compressive strength of approximately 55 MPa.

## 5. Textile Reinforcement

The textile consisted of orthogonally arranged carbon and E-glass yarns.

The carbon textile was based on T700S 12k yarn, while the E-glass yarn was 4k.

**Table 2. Mechanical and geometric properties of textile yarns**

| Parameter                           | Carbon T700S 12k | E-glass 4k |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Monofilament tensile strength (MPa) | 4660             | 3200       |
| Elastic modulus (GPa)               | 231              | 65         |
| Ultimate elongation (%)             | 2.0              | 4.5        |
| Yarn linear mass (Tex)              | 801              | 600        |
| Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )        | 1.78             | 2.58       |

The textile mesh spacing was 10 mm.

Epoxy impregnation was used to improve yarn integrity and interaction with the fine-grained concrete. The experimentally measured properties of the impregnated carbon yarn included an ultimate tensile strength of approximately 4,100 MPa, an elastic modulus of approximately 180 GPa, and an ultimate strain of approximately 0.023.

## 6. Fine-Grained Concrete

The fine-grained concrete consisted of Portland cement, fly ash, silica fume, water, superplasticizer, and fine aggregate.

**Table 3. Fine-grained concrete mix**

| Constituent              | Content                |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Portland cement PII52.5R | 472 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |
| Fly ash                  | 168 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |
| Silica fume              | 35 kg/m <sup>3</sup>   |
| Water                    | 262 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |
| Superplasticizer         | 3.25 kg/m <sup>3</sup> |
| Water-to-binder ratio    | 0.40                   |
| Sand, 0–0.6 mm           | 460 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |
| Sand, 0.6–1.2 mm         | 920 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |

The measured compressive strength of the fine-grained concrete was approximately 55 MPa.

## 7. Specimen Configuration

The original beam matrix was designed to investigate the effects of:

- textile layer number;
- textile surface treatment;
- polypropylene fibers;
- interface agent;
- U-shaped shear pins;
- U-shaped textile hooks.

**Table 4. Experimental configuration**

| Specimen | Textile treatment | Layers | PP<br>(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | fiber | Interface<br>agent | U-<br>pin | U-<br>hook |
|----------|-------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| Beam1    | None              | 0      | 0                          |       | No                 | No        | No         |
| Beam2    | None              | 0      | 0                          |       | No                 | No        | No         |
| Beam3    | Epoxy, no sand    | 1      | 0                          |       | No                 | No        | No         |
| Beam4    | Epoxy, no sand    | 1      | 0                          |       | Yes                | No        | No         |
| Beam5    | Epoxy, no sand    | 2      | 0                          |       | No                 | Yes       | Yes        |
| Beam6    | Epoxy + fine sand | 1      | 0                          |       | No                 | No        | No         |
| Beam7    | Epoxy + fine sand | 1      | 0                          |       | Yes                | No        | No         |
| Beam8    | Epoxy + fine sand | 2      | 0                          |       | Yes                | Yes       | Yes        |

|        |            |   |        |   |     |     |     |    |
|--------|------------|---|--------|---|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Beam9  | Epoxy sand | + | coarse | 1 | 0   | No  | No  | No |
| Beam10 | Epoxy sand | + | coarse | 1 | 0   | Yes | No  | No |
| Beam11 | Epoxy sand | + | coarse | 1 | 1.0 | No  | No  | No |
| Beam12 | Epoxy sand | + | coarse | 1 | 1.0 | Yes | No  | No |
| Beam13 | Epoxy sand | + | coarse | 1 | 1.0 | No  | Yes | No |
| Beam14 | Epoxy sand | + | coarse | 1 | 1.0 | Yes | Yes | No |

## 8. Strengthening Procedure

The RC beams were initially cast and cured for 28 days. The surface was then mechanically roughened and cleaned.

For specimens requiring mechanical anchorage, holes were drilled into the existing concrete surface. U-shaped shear pins were subsequently installed using structural adhesive.

The textile was tensioned and fixed to the beam surface. Fine-grained concrete was then applied to form the strengthening layer.

For two-layer configurations, the second textile layer was installed after placing an intermediate fine-grained concrete layer.

The textile was positioned approximately 2–3 mm from the strengthening surface, while the external fine-grained concrete cover over the outer textile was approximately 3–5 mm. The total strengthening thickness was approximately 10 mm.

This thin strengthening configuration is particularly relevant for rehabilitation applications where increasing the original beam dimensions is undesirable.

## 9. Loading and Measurement

Four-point bending was used.

The distance between the two loading points was 600 mm, defining the pure bending region.

The tests were conducted using a 5,000-kN hydraulic servo-controlled testing machine under incremental loading.

Measurements included:

- load;
- tensile strain;

- concrete compression strain;
- textile strain;
- mid-span deflection;
- support deformation;
- crack width;
- cracking load;
- yielding load;
- ultimate load.

Two LVDTs were used to measure mid-span deflection, while additional LVDTs monitored support deformation.

## 10. Experimental Results

**Table 5. Experimental load, crack-width, and deflection results**

| Beam   | P <sub>cr</sub> (kN) | w <sub>cr</sub> (mm) | P <sub>y</sub> (kN) | D <sub>y</sub> (mm) | w <sub>y</sub> (mm) | P <sub>u</sub> (kN) |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Beam1  | 10.8                 | 0.04                 | 48.6                | 5.5                 | 0.80                | 58.6                |
| Beam2  | 9.8                  | 0.03                 | 48.9                | 6.0                 | 1.20                | 59.0                |
| Beam3  | 12.1                 | 0.01                 | 52.8                | 6.2                 | 0.40                | 63.9                |
| Beam4  | 12.1                 | 0.01                 | 52.3                | 5.8                 | 0.36                | 61.6                |
| Beam5  | 12.2                 | 0.01                 | 55.0                | 6.0                 | 0.24                | 73.0                |
| Beam6  | 12.2                 | 0.01                 | 54.5                | 5.7                 | 0.42                | 64.4                |
| Beam7  | 12.7                 | 0.01                 | 52.5                | 7.3                 | 0.40                | 60.2                |
| Beam8  | 13.8                 | 0.01                 | 58.8                | 7.5                 | 0.38                | 74.6                |
| Beam9  | 12.5                 | 0.01                 | 53.2                | 6.1                 | 0.34                | 62.8                |
| Beam10 | 12.3                 | 0.01                 | 53.5                | 6.3                 | 0.40                | 63.7                |
| Beam11 | 12.9                 | 0.01                 | 54.6                | 6.5                 | 0.42                | 63.7                |
| Beam12 | 12.9                 | 0.01                 | 53.0                | 6.0                 | 0.38                | 63.2                |
| Beam13 | 12.5                 | 0.01                 | 55.2                | 5.9                 | 0.30                | 65.4                |
| Beam14 | 12.6                 | 0.01                 | 55.3                | 6.5                 | 0.34                | 65.1                |

## 11. Effect of TRC Strengthening

The mean ultimate load of the two control beams was:

$$P_{u,control} = \frac{58.6 + 59.0}{2} = 58.8 \text{ kN}$$

The corresponding mean yielding load was:

$$P_{y,control} = \frac{48.6 + 48.9}{2} = 48.75 \text{ kN}$$

Beam8 reached an ultimate load of 74.6 kN. Therefore:

$$\Delta P_u = \frac{74.6 - 58.8}{58.8} \times 100 = 26.87\%$$

The corresponding yielding-load increase was:

$$\Delta P_y = \frac{58.8 - 48.75}{48.75} \times 100 = 20.62\%$$

Thus, within the tested configuration, the two-layer TRC system with fine-sand treatment and mechanical anchorage produced the largest measured load-carrying response.

## 12. Crack Control

The control specimens exhibited substantially larger crack widths than the TRC-strengthened specimens.

Beam2 developed a maximum crack width of 1.20 mm at yielding, whereas Beam8 had a maximum crack width of only 0.38 mm at a substantially higher yielding load.

The reduction relative to Beam2 was:

$$\frac{1.20 - 0.38}{1.20} \times 100 = 68.3\%$$

This demonstrates the importance of the textile layer in controlling crack opening.

The textile provides distributed tensile restraint after concrete cracking and contributes to the redistribution of tensile stresses. The result is a larger number of narrower cracks rather than a small number of rapidly widening cracks.

## 13. Effect of Textile Surface Treatment

Comparison between the textile configurations demonstrates that surface treatment can influence the interaction between the textile and fine-grained concrete.

For two-layer specimens, Beam5 and Beam8 had ultimate loads of 73.0 and 74.6 kN, respectively.

The increase was:

$$\frac{74.6 - 73.0}{73.0} \times 100 = 2.19\%$$

The yielding load increased from 55.0 to 58.8 kN:

$$\frac{58.8 - 55.0}{55.0} \times 100 = 6.91\%$$

The maximum crack width remained below 0.40 mm in both cases, but the fine-sand treatment provided stronger load development and improved textile–matrix interaction.

This finding is consistent with the broader understanding that textile geometry and yarn–matrix bonding are fundamental parameters governing TRC performance[37.38].

#### 14. Effect of Polypropylene Fibers

The addition of 1.0 kg/m<sup>3</sup> polypropylene fiber was primarily intended to improve the early cracking behavior and toughness of the fine-grained matrix.

The experimental data indicate that PP fibers did not produce a major increase in post-cracking flexural behavior in the thin TRC layer.

For example, Beam9 and Beam11 had ultimate loads of 62.8 and 63.7 kN, respectively.

The corresponding increase was:

$$\frac{63.7 - 62.8}{62.8} \times 100 = 1.43\%$$

Nevertheless, polypropylene fibers can reduce early microcracking and improve the toughness of the cementitious matrix. Their principal contribution in this configuration therefore appears to be related to matrix integrity and crack initiation rather than a major increase in ultimate flexural capacity.

#### 15. Effect of U-Shaped Shear Pins

Mechanical anchorage had a more pronounced structural effect than the interface agent.

Beam11 and Beam13 provide a useful comparison. The yielding load increased from 54.6 to 55.2 kN:

$$\Delta P_y = 1.10\%$$

while the ultimate load increased from 63.7 to 65.4 kN:

$$\Delta P_u = 2.67\%$$

The maximum crack width decreased from 0.42 to 0.30 mm.

The principal contribution of mechanical anchorage is therefore associated with improved composite action between the strengthening layer and the original concrete substrate.

Recent TRC research similarly identifies anchorage and bond as important factors governing strengthening efficiency, ductility, and damage development[39].

#### 16. Effect of Interface Agent

The interface agent had a relatively limited effect on global beam performance.

For example, comparison of Beam9 and Beam10 gives:

- yielding load: 53.2 → 53.5 kN;
- ultimate load: 62.8 → 63.7 kN.

The ultimate-load increase was approximately:

$$\frac{63.7 - 62.8}{62.8} \times 100 = 1.43\%$$

The splitting tensile tests provide additional evidence.

**Table 6. Splitting tensile test results**

| Condition               | Specimen results (kN)      | Mean (kN) | Strength (MPa) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Without interface agent | 63.47, 80.13, 70.14, 53.71 | 66.86     | 2.971          |
| With interface agent    | 63.83, 72.33, 57.32, 76.08 | 67.39     | 2.995          |

The difference in average interface strength was small:

$$\frac{2.995 - 2.971}{2.971} \times 100 \approx 0.81\%$$

This indicates that when a high-performance fine-grained concrete is used and the existing concrete surface is adequately roughened, mechanical anchorage may be more influential than an additional interface-agent layer.

## 17. Effect of Textile Layer Number

The number of textile layers represents one of the most important variables in the strengthening system.

Beam5 and Beam8, which incorporated two textile layers, achieved ultimate loads of 73.0 and 74.6 kN, respectively. These were substantially higher than the corresponding one-layer specimens.

The highest measured yielding load was 58.8 kN for Beam8.

The experimental trend demonstrates that increasing textile reinforcement can increase:

- flexural stiffness;
- cracking resistance;
- yielding load;
- ultimate capacity;
- crack-control efficiency.

However, textile quantity cannot be increased indefinitely. Excessive textile reinforcement may result in inefficient material utilization and can shift the governing failure mechanism toward compression failure or interfacial failure.

Therefore, an optimum textile reinforcement ratio must be established rather than simply maximizing the number of layers.

## 18. Reinforcement Ratio as a Governing Variable

The contribution of TRC cannot be evaluated independently of the existing steel reinforcement ratio.

The total tensile resistance can conceptually be represented as:

$$T_{total} = T_s + T_f$$

where:

- $T_s$  = tensile resistance provided by conventional steel reinforcement;
- $T_f$  = tensile resistance provided by textile reinforcement.

The relative contribution can be expressed through an effective textile-to-steel reinforcement ratio:

$$\rho_{tf} = \frac{A_f}{bh}$$

and:

$$\rho_s = \frac{A_s}{bd}$$

where  $A_f$  is the effective textile area,  $A_s$  is the steel reinforcement area,  $b$  is beam width,  $h$  is total beam depth, and  $d$  is effective depth.

The experimental evidence indicates that the effectiveness of surface treatment and additional textile layers depends partly on the amount of conventional reinforcement already present.

## 19. Loading Conditions

Four-point bending provides a relatively controlled pure bending region and allows the independent observation of cracking, yielding, and ultimate response.

The response can be divided into three principal stages.

### Stage I: Uncracked response

The concrete, steel, and TRC layer behave approximately elastically.

### Stage II: Cracked response

After concrete cracking, tensile stress is redistributed toward the steel reinforcement and textile.

### Stage III: Post-yield response

Once the steel reinforcement approaches yielding, the textile becomes increasingly important in controlling crack growth and deformation.

This staged response explains why the effect of TRC is often more apparent in crack control and post-cracking stiffness than in the initial elastic response.

## 20. Environmental Exposure and Indian Application

The original experimental program did not include accelerated chloride, carbonation, sulfate, temperature, or wet-dry exposure tests. Therefore, environmental exposure is introduced here as an

**India-oriented extension of the research framework**, not as an experimentally measured variable in the 14-beam dataset.

This distinction is essential for avoiding unsupported experimental claims.

Indian reinforced concrete structures can encounter substantially different exposure environments. Coastal structures are particularly relevant to chloride ingress, while other regions may experience high temperatures, seasonal humidity variation, carbonation, and sulfate-related deterioration.

Indian technical standards emphasize durability, exposure conditions, concrete quality, permeability, and chloride resistance[40].

Accordingly, the proposed environmental variable can be expressed as:

$$E = f(C, H, T, S, CO_2)$$

where:

- $C$  = chloride exposure;
- $H$  = humidity/moisture variation;
- $T$  = temperature exposure;
- $S$  = sulfate exposure;
- $CO_2$  = carbonation environment.

A future experimental program in India should expose TRC-strengthened beams to representative environments and compare their residual flexural properties with unexposed specimens.

## 21. Proposed Indian Environmental Exposure Matrix

**Table 7. Proposed environmental-extension framework**

| Exposure condition | Principal deterioration mechanism | Main expected indicator   |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Coastal            | Chloride ingress                  | Steel corrosion, cracking |
| Hot-humid          | Moisture and temperature cycling  | Bond and durability       |
| Hot-dry            | Thermal and drying effects        | Matrix cracking           |
| Urban              | Carbonation and pollution         | Carbonation depth         |
| Sulfate-rich       | Sulfate attack                    | Matrix deterioration      |
| Wet-dry cycling    | Moisture-induced deterioration    | Interface degradation     |

This framework is consistent with the broader durability concern that chloride attack can initiate reinforcement corrosion and subsequent cracking and spalling in reinforced concrete.

## 22. Theoretical Model

### 22.1 Basic Assumptions

The analytical model adopts the following assumptions:

1. Plane sections remain plane after bending.

2. Perfect strain compatibility is assumed between the strengthening layer and RC beam unless interfacial failure occurs.
3. The curvature remains approximately constant within the pure bending region.
4. The bending moment is related to the curvature through the sectional constitutive response.
5. Tensile concrete contribution after extensive cracking is neglected or simplified.
6. Textile tensile resistance is included through a textile stress–strain relationship.
7. Steel reinforcement follows an elastic–perfectly plastic idealization.

### 23. Textile Constitutive Relationship

The tensile stress of the textile can be represented by a bilinear relationship.

For:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \varepsilon_{ft} \leq \varepsilon_{fy} \\ \sigma_f &= E_f \varepsilon_{ft} \end{aligned}$$

For:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{fy} &< \varepsilon_{ft} \leq \varepsilon_{fu} \\ \sigma_f &= \sigma_{fy} + \frac{\sigma_{fu} - \sigma_{fy}}{\varepsilon_{fu} - \varepsilon_{fy}} (\varepsilon_{ft} - \varepsilon_{fy}) \end{aligned}$$

where:

- $E_f$  = effective textile elastic modulus;
- $\sigma_f$  = textile tensile stress;
- $\varepsilon_{ft}$  = textile strain;
- $\sigma_{fy}$  = textile stress at the transition point;
- $\sigma_{fu}$  = textile ultimate tensile strength;
- $\varepsilon_{fy}$  = transition strain;
- $\varepsilon_{fu}$  = ultimate textile strain.

For the original carbon textile system:

$$\varepsilon_{fu} \approx 0.021$$

and:

$$\sigma_{fu} \approx 3900 \text{ MPa}$$

were adopted in the original analytical framework.

## 24. Sectional Equilibrium

At a prescribed tensile strain, the strain distribution across the beam depth can be established from the plane-section assumption.

Force equilibrium is:

$$\int_0^{h_c} b \sigma_c(x) dx - \int_0^{h_t} b \sigma_t(x) dx - \sigma_s A_s - \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{fi} A_{fi} = 0$$

where:

- $h_c$ = compression-zone depth;
- $h_t$ = tensile-zone depth;
- $\sigma_c$ = concrete compressive stress;
- $\sigma_t$ = tensile stress;
- $\sigma_s$ = steel stress;
- $A_s$ = steel area;
- $\sigma_{fi}$ = stress in textile layer  $i$ ;
- $A_{fi}$ = effective textile area of layer  $i$ ;
- $n$ = number of textile layers.

The bending moment is obtained from:

$$M = \int_0^{h_c} b \sigma_c(x) x dx + \int_0^{h_t} b \sigma_t(x) x dx + \sigma_s A_s (h_0 - h_c) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{fi} A_{fi} (h_{fi} - h_c)$$

## 25. Cracking, Yielding, and Ultimate States

The cracking moment is obtained when:

$$\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_{t0}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{t0}$  is the concrete cracking strain.

The yielding moment is obtained when:

$$\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_y$$

where  $\varepsilon_y$  is the steel yield strain.

The ultimate state is governed by the interaction between textile tensile resistance and compression-zone concrete capacity.

The original model used:

$$h_{cu} = \frac{3\varepsilon_{cu}(f_y A_s + \sigma_{fu} A_f)}{f_c b (3\varepsilon_{cu} - \varepsilon_0)}$$

where:

- $h_{cu}$  = compression-zone depth at failure;
- $\varepsilon_{cu}$  = ultimate concrete compression strain;
- $f_y$  = steel yield stress;
- $A_s$  = steel reinforcement area;
- $\sigma_{fu}$  = textile ultimate stress;
- $A_f$  = effective textile area;
- $f_c$  = concrete compressive strength;
- $b$  = beam width;
- $\varepsilon_0$  = reference concrete strain parameter.

The ultimate bending moment can then be calculated from the moment-equilibrium equation.

## 26. Deflection Calculation

The maximum mid-span deflection can be obtained from the moment–curvature relationship.

For the four-point bending configuration:

$$\omega_c = \int_c^A r \phi(r) dx$$

where:

- $\omega_c$  = mid-span deflection;
- $r$  = distance from the mid-span reference;
- $\phi(r)$  = curvature.

The use of the complete moment–curvature relationship allows the nonlinear load–deflection response to be reconstructed.

## 27. Comparison Between Experimental and Theoretical Results

The original analytical comparison considered:

- Beam3;
- Beam6;

- Beam9;
- Beam13;

for one textile layer, and:

- Beam5;
- Beam8;

for two textile layers.

The experimental and theoretical load–deflection responses were reported to show good agreement. The original study reported errors below 5% for the calculated yielding and ultimate loads.

This level of agreement indicates that a sectional approach based on strain compatibility and force equilibrium can provide a useful first-order analytical framework for TRC-strengthened beams.

Nevertheless, a more advanced model should explicitly incorporate:

- bond-slip;
- textile–matrix interaction;
- crack spacing;
- tension stiffening;
- anchorage;
- textile layer spacing;
- environmental degradation.

## 28. Comparative Performance of the Beam Groups

**Table 8. Selected performance comparisons**

| Comparison                  | Main finding                                                       |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Control vs TRC              | TRC increased cracking, yielding, and ultimate resistance          |
| One vs two textile layers   | Two layers generally provided greater capacity                     |
| No sand vs sand treatment   | Surface treatment improved textile–matrix interaction              |
| No anchorage vs U-pins      | Mechanical anchorage improved composite action                     |
| No PP vs PP                 | PP primarily improved matrix toughness and early cracking behavior |
| Interface agent vs no agent | Global beam response changed only slightly                         |
| Control vs TRC              | Crack widths were substantially reduced                            |
| TRC vs control              | Post-cracking behavior became more stable                          |

## 29. Discussion

The experimental evidence demonstrates that TRC can provide significant flexural strengthening without requiring a substantial increase in beam dimensions.

The control beams reached ultimate capacities of 58.6 and 59.0 kN, while the highest strengthened-beam value was 74.6 kN. This represents a 26.9% increase relative to the average control capacity.

The improvement was accompanied by a substantial reduction in crack width. This is particularly important because structural serviceability is often governed by crack control before ultimate strength is reached.

The findings also demonstrate that textile quantity must be optimized. Increasing the textile layers increased load-carrying capacity, but the strengthening system should not be designed simply by maximizing textile volume. An excessively reinforced tensile layer can change the failure mechanism and reduce the efficiency of material utilization.

Bond is equally important. The textile cannot contribute its full tensile capacity unless stresses can be transferred efficiently through the matrix and the existing concrete substrate. This explains the positive role of sand treatment and mechanical anchorage.

The limited influence of the interface agent is also informative. If the existing concrete surface is properly roughened and a high-performance fine-grained matrix is used, the additional interface agent may provide only a marginal increase in global flexural capacity.

This observation has practical implications for construction because eliminating unnecessary interface-treatment steps can simplify strengthening operations.

### **30. Significance for Indian RC Infrastructure**

The proposed India-oriented framework is particularly relevant for:

- bridges;
- parking structures;
- industrial buildings;
- coastal infrastructure;
- water-related structures;
- transportation infrastructure;
- aging residential and commercial RC buildings.

TRC is potentially attractive in these applications because its cementitious matrix is compatible with concrete substrates, while textile reinforcement offers high tensile capacity without the corrosion susceptibility associated with conventional steel reinforcement.

Recent studies continue to investigate TRC/FRCM strengthening in terms of flexural capacity, serviceability, ductility, and failure mode, supporting the relevance of textile-based cementitious systems for structural rehabilitation [41].

### 31. Sustainability Considerations

TRC strengthening can contribute to sustainable structural rehabilitation by extending the service life of existing structures instead of replacing them.

The sustainability benefits can include:

1. reduced demolition;
2. reduced consumption of new structural concrete;
3. reduced construction waste;
4. reduced strengthening thickness;
5. improved durability;
6. potential reduction in maintenance frequency;
7. longer structural service life.

The sustainability advantage should nevertheless be evaluated through a complete life-cycle assessment that includes textile production, cement consumption, transportation, installation, maintenance, and end-of-life considerations.

### 32. Proposed Design Optimization Framework

An optimized TRC strengthening system should simultaneously consider:

Capacity + Serviceability + Ductility + Bond + Durability + Constructability

A conceptual optimization function can therefore be written as:

$$F_{opt} = w_1P_u + w_2P_y - w_3w_{cr} + w_4D - w_5C$$

where:

- $P_u$  = ultimate load;
- $P_y$  = yielding load;
- $w_{cr}$  = crack width;
- $D$  = durability indicator;
- $C$  = strengthening cost;
- $w_i$  = weighting factors.

The weights should be selected according to the intended application rather than assumed universally.

### 33. Proposed Environmental-Durability Research Program for India

To experimentally validate the environmental variable introduced in this study, future Indian testing should include at least four exposure groups:

**Group A: Reference**

No accelerated exposure.

**Group B: Chloride exposure**

Repeated chloride solution or salt-water cycles representative of coastal conditions.

**Group C: Wet–dry and temperature cycles**

Alternating moisture and temperature conditions.

**Group D: Carbonation/sulfate exposure**

Accelerated carbonation and/or sulfate exposure.

After exposure, the beams should be tested under four-point bending and evaluated for:

- residual cracking load;
- residual yielding load;
- residual ultimate load;
- stiffness;
- crack width;
- crack spacing;
- textile–matrix bond;
- steel corrosion;
- mass loss;
- carbonation depth;
- chloride penetration.

This would convert the environmental variable from a conceptual extension into a directly measurable experimental parameter.

**34. Limitations**

Several limitations should be recognized.

First, the experimental database was originally developed using a relatively small number of beam specimens.

Second, the environmental-exposure variable was not experimentally tested in the original dataset.

Third, the experimental beams were based on a specific cross-section, reinforcement configuration, concrete strength, and textile system. Therefore, the results should not be generalized to every RC beam configuration.

Fourth, the analytical model assumes idealized strain compatibility and does not fully reproduce bond-slip mechanisms.

Fifth, the textile properties can differ substantially according to fiber type, yarn geometry, impregnation, coating, and manufacturing process.

Sixth, environmental durability should be validated using Indian exposure conditions rather than inferred solely from mechanical tests.

### 35. Conclusions

Based on the experimental evidence and the India-oriented analytical framework, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. **TRC is an effective thin-layer strengthening system for RC beams.** The experimental results demonstrate improvements in cracking resistance, yielding load, ultimate capacity, stiffness, and crack control.
2. **The highest experimental ultimate load was 74.6 kN**, obtained for Beam8, compared with an average control-beam ultimate capacity of 58.8 kN. This corresponds to an increase of approximately **26.9%**.
3. **The highest yielding load was 58.8 kN**, compared with an average control value of 48.75 kN, corresponding to an increase of approximately **20.6%**.
4. **TRC substantially reduced crack width.** Beam2 reached a maximum crack width of 1.20 mm at yielding, whereas Beam8 reached only 0.38 mm despite carrying a considerably higher yielding load.
5. **Increasing textile layers improved structural performance.** Two-layer configurations generally produced greater yielding and ultimate capacities than one-layer systems.
6. **Textile surface treatment improved composite interaction.** Sand-coated textile configurations showed improved utilization of the textile reinforcement and more effective crack control.
7. **Mechanical anchorage improved composite action.** U-shaped shear pins reduced the possibility of relative deformation between the TRC strengthening layer and the existing concrete and contributed to improved structural performance.
8. **Polypropylene fibers had a limited influence on ultimate beam capacity** at the tested dosage but contributed to matrix toughness and early crack control.
9. **The interface agent had only a minor influence on global flexural performance** when high-performance fine-grained concrete and adequate substrate roughening were used.

10. **The steel reinforcement ratio and textile reinforcement ratio should be considered jointly.** The effectiveness of TRC is dependent on the interaction between existing steel reinforcement and additional textile tensile resistance.
11. **The theoretical sectional model provided satisfactory agreement with the experimental results,** with the original study reporting errors below 5% for yielding and ultimate load predictions.
12. **Environmental exposure should be incorporated into future Indian TRC design.** Chloride, carbonation, sulfate, moisture, and temperature conditions can influence the long-term performance of strengthened RC infrastructure.
13. **For Indian applications, textile configuration, bond, anchorage, reinforcement ratio, and environmental exposure should be optimized simultaneously,** rather than considering ultimate strength alone.
14. TRC therefore represents a promising cement-based strengthening approach for extending the service life of existing RC infrastructure, provided that textile quantity, anchorage, bond, environmental exposure, and failure mechanisms are appropriately considered.

### 36. Future Research Directions

Future research should develop a larger Indian experimental database covering:

- carbon textiles;
- AR-glass textiles;
- basalt textiles;
- different mesh geometries;
- one to five textile layers;
- different concrete strengths;
- different steel reinforcement ratios;
- different TRC thicknesses;
- different anchorage systems;
- different environmental exposures.

Advanced finite-element simulations should also be developed to reproduce:

Concrete cracking → Steel yielding → Textile activation → Crack propagation  
→ Anchorage response → Ultimate failure

Machine-learning approaches could subsequently be used to predict ultimate load, crack width, deflection, and optimal textile quantity from experimental and numerical datasets.

## Ethical Considerations

Not applicable. This study did not require ethical approval because it does not include human or animal subjects and does not involve any personal or sensitive data.

## List of Abbreviations:

reinforced concrete (RC); Textile-reinforced concrete (TRC); C: chloride exposure; H: humidity/moisture variation; T: temperature exposure; S: sulfate exposure; CO<sub>2</sub>: carbonation environment; E<sub>f</sub>: effective textile elastic modulus; b: beam width;

## Acknowledgment:

The author would like to express their sincere gratitude to **The International Journal of Engineering Sciences** - Noor Al-Ilm for Publishing and Distribution for their generous support in waiving all publication fees and facilitating the publication of this manuscript free of charge. Their commitment to promoting scientific research and supporting researchers is highly appreciated.

## Author Contribution:

All authors contributed equally to the main contributor to this paper. All authors read and approved the final paper.

## Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

The authors hereby declare that no generative artificial intelligence or AI-assisted technologies were used at any stage during the preparation of this manuscript, including language editing, proofreading, or content development. The authors take full responsibility for the originality and integrity of the work presented in this publication.

**Funding:**

This research received no external financial funding. The authors also acknowledge **The International Journal of Engineering Sciences**, Noor Al-Ilm for Publishing and Distribution, for providing a full waiver of the publication fees. The publication fee waiver was provided as editorial support and did not involve any financial contribution to the conduct, design, analysis, or reporting of the research.

**Conflicts of Interest:**

“The authors declare no conflict of interest.”

**References**

- [1] Luchko, J., Nazarevich, B., & Kovalchuk, V. V. (2022). Degradating concrete and reinforced concrete building structures and long-term structures. <https://visnyk-odaba.org.ua/2022-86/86-4.pdf>
- [2] Li, Z., & Dong, X. (2025). Resistance of reinforced concrete structures and their road load-bearing properties to disasters. *Journal of Structural Design and Construction Practice*, 30(4), 04025075. <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/JSDCCC.SCENG-1693>
- [3] Junguang Wang, Xiaoguang Wu, Zhirong Ma, Yue Zhao, Ruosong Li, Mechanism and rapid prediction of load-bearing capacity degradation of concrete bridges driven by crack morphology, *Structures*, Volume 88, 2026, 111971, ISSN 2352-0124, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2026.111971>
- [4] Zein Al-Shandidi, M. (2024). Rehabilitation of historic structures using modern techniques. *International Journal of Advances Engineering and Civil Research*, 4(2), 26-37. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21608/ijaecr.2025.298209.1030>
- [5] Huang, X., Su, S., Xu, Z., Miao, Q., Li, W., & Wang, L. (2023). Advanced Composite Materials for Structure Strengthening and Resilience Improvement. *Buildings*, 13(10), 2406. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13102406>
- [6] Hammad, M., Bahrami, A., Khokhar, S. A., & Khushnood, R. A. (2024). A State-of-the-Art Review on Structural Strengthening Techniques with FRPs: Effectiveness, Shortcomings, and Future Research Directions. *Materials*, 17(6), 1408. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17061408>
- [7] Manu, K. C., Madhushree, C., Chandini, M. S., Shree, N., Hemanth, S., & Jeevan, T. P. (2025). Corrosion in Steel Structures: A Review. *Journal of Mines, Metals & Fuels*, 73(1), 189. [https://openurl.ebsco.com/EPDB%3Agcd%3A15%3A14357727/detailv2?sid=ebsco%3Aplink%3Acrawler-gcd&id=ebsco%3Agcd%3A182372514&crl=c&jrnl=00222755&link\\_origin=scholar.google.com](https://openurl.ebsco.com/EPDB%3Agcd%3A15%3A14357727/detailv2?sid=ebsco%3Aplink%3Acrawler-gcd&id=ebsco%3Agcd%3A182372514&crl=c&jrnl=00222755&link_origin=scholar.google.com)
- [8] Diniță, A., Ripeanu, R. G., Ilincă, C. N., Cursaru, D., Matei, D., Naim, R. I., Tănase, M., & Portoacă, A. I. (2024). Advancements in Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites: A Comprehensive Analysis. *Polymers*, 16(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16010002>
- [9] Hamzat, A.K., Murad, M.S., Adediran, I.A. et al. Fiber-reinforced composites for aerospace, energy, and marine applications: an insight into failure mechanisms under chemical, thermal, oxidative, and

- mechanical load conditions. *Adv Compos Hybrid Mater* 8, 152 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-024-01192-y>
- [10] Barman, N. K., Bhattacharya, S. S., & Alagirusamy, R. (2024). Textile structures in concrete reinforcement. *Textile Progress*, 56(1), 1–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405167.2023.2266930>
- [11] Abd-Al-Naser, M., & Habra, I. S. (2023, September). A Review-strengthening of reinforced concrete beams with textile-reinforced concrete. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 1232, No. 1, p. 012024). IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1232/1/012024>
- [12] Zhu, D., Tang, Y., & Rahman, M. Z. (2024). Basalt fiber: composites and applications. In *Synthetic and Mineral Fibers, Their Composites and Applications* (pp. 337-361). Woodhead Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-13623-8.00012-5>
- [13] Wu, C., Pan, Y., & Yan, L. (2023). Mechanical Properties and Durability of Textile Reinforced Concrete (TRC)—A Review. *Polymers*, 15(18), 3826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15183826>
- [14] Frieze, D., Scheurer, M., Hahn, L., Gries, T., & Cherif, C. (2022). Textile reinforcement structures for concrete construction applications—a review. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 56(26), 4041–4064. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219983221127181>
- [15] L. Estevan, F.J. Baeza, F.B. Varona, J. Pereiro, 2023. Effect of high temperature on textile reinforced Mortar-to-masonry bond, *Construction and Building Materials*, Volume 393, 2023, 132123, ISSN 0950-0618, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.132123>
- [16] Li, R., Deng, M., Guo, L., Wei, D., Zhang, Y., & Li, T. (2024). Tensile behavior of high-strength highly ductile fiber-reinforced concrete with embedded carbon textile grids. *Construction and Building Materials*, 414, 134957. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.134957>
- [17] Sharifi Ghalehnoei M, Behfarnia K. Enhancing the flexural capacity of reinforced concrete beams: A comprehensive review of inorganic composite solutions. *Journal of Composite Materials*. 2026;60(19):1811-1837. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219983251391411>
- [18] Filippou, C., Furtado, A., De Risi, M. T., Kyriakides, N., & Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2022). Behaviour of Masonry-Infilled RC Frames Strengthened Using Textile Reinforced Mortar: An Experimental and Numerical Studies Overview. *Journal of Earthquake Engineering*, 26(15), 7743–7767. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632469.2021.1988763>
- [19] Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., & Deng, M. (2022). Four-point bending tests of ECC: Mechanical response and toughness evaluation. *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, 17, e01573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2022.e01573>
- [20] Kumar, A., Devendiran, D. K., & Banerjee, S. (2025). Disaster resilience of reinforced concrete bridges in Gujarat under multihazard conditions. *Journal of Structural Integrity and Maintenance*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/24705314.2025.2466377>
- [21] Zhang, M., Xu, R., Liu, K., & Sun, S. (2022). Research progress on durability of marine concrete under the combined action of Cl<sup>-</sup> erosion, carbonation, and dry–wet cycles. *Reviews on Advanced Materials Science*, 61(1), 622–637 .
- [22] H.K. Sugandhini, Shriraksha, Nivedita Sivadas, Gopinatha Nayak, Kiran K. Shetty, 2022. A review on concrete's durability in India – Framework for performance-based approach, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Volume 60, Part 1, 2022, Pages 545-551, ISSN 2214-7853, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.01.437>
- [23] Wu, C., Pan, Y., & Yan, L. (2023). Mechanical Properties and Durability of Textile Reinforced Concrete (TRC)—A Review. *Polymers*, 15(18), 3826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15183826>

- [24] A. Doersam, O. Tsigkou, C. Jones, A Review: Textile Technologies for Single and Multi-Layer Tubular Soft Tissue Engineering. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 2022, 7, 2101720. <https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202101720>
- [25] Mattarollo, G. (2024). Structural strengthening of RC columns by means of high performance concrete layers. <https://arts.units.it/handle/11368/3069618>
- [26] Cascardi, A., Koutas, L.N., De Santis, S. et al. Textile-Reinforced Mortar (TRM) for retrofitting masonry structures: advantages, challenges and future potential. *Mater Struct* 59, 38 (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-025-02891-8>
- [27] Wu, C., Pan, Y., & Yan, L. (2023). Mechanical Properties and Durability of Textile Reinforced Concrete (TRC)—A Review. *Polymers*, 15(18), 3826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15183826>
- [28] Mishra, R. K. (2023). Advances in Textile Structural Composites. *Polymers*, 15(4), 808. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15040808>
- [29] Wang, C., Yin, S., Zhao, Y., & Li, Y. (2025). Flexural behavior of composite beams with textile reinforced concrete (TRC) permanent formwork considering interface characteristics. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 99, <https://doi.org/111602>. [10.1016/j.jobbe.2024.111602](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2024.111602)
- [30] Seręga, S., & Faustmann, D. H. (2022). Flexural strengthening of reinforced concrete beams using external tendons. *Engineering Structures*, 252, 113277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2021.113277>
- [31] Bandara, S., Wijesundara, K., & Rajeev, P. (2023). Ultra-High-Performance Fibre-Reinforced Concrete for Rehabilitation and Strengthening of Concrete Structures: A Suitability Assessment. *Buildings*, 13(3), 614. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13030614>
- [32] Lal, M., & Chidambaram, R. S. (2025). Flexural strengthening of RC beams with glass fiber textile reinforced concrete and hybrid anchorage technique. *Construction and Building Materials*, 505, 144615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2025.144615>
- [33] Mervin Sanjith IP, Madhavi TC, Prabhakar G. Seismic performance enhancement of hybrid fiber Reinforced Concrete beam–column joints using TRC strengthening and headed bar anchorage. *Structural Concrete*. 2026. <https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.70721>
- [34] Saidi, M., & Gabor, A. (2025). Analytical modelling of the load-transfer length and the crack width evolution of Textile-Reinforced cementitious (TRC) matrix composites. *Composite Structures*, 370, 119405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2025.119405>
- [35] Demiral, M. (2025). Strength in Adhesion: A Multi-Mechanics Review Covering Tensile, Shear, Fracture, Fatigue, Creep, and Impact Behavior of Polymer Bonding in Composites. *Polymers*, 17(19), 2600. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17192600>
- [36] Wu, C., Pan, Y., & Yan, L. (2023). Mechanical Properties and Durability of Textile Reinforced Concrete (TRC)—A Review. *Polymers*, 15(18), 3826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15183826>
- [37] Wu, C., Pan, Y., & Yan, L. (2023). Mechanical Properties and Durability of Textile Reinforced Concrete (TRC)—A Review. *Polymers*, 15(18), 3826. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15183826>
- [38] Barman, N. K., Bhattacharya, S. S., & Alagirusamy, R. (2024). Textile structures in concrete reinforcement. *Textile Progress*, 56(1), 1–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405167.2023.2266930>
- [39] Zirui Liu, Shiping Yin, Jiamiao Zheng, 2024. Research on the bonding performance of TRC composite embedded CFRP bars and the flexural performance of reinforced beams, *Construction and Building Materials*, Volume 411, 2024, 134514, ISSN 0950-0618, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.134514>



- 
- [40] Sugandhini, H. K., Sivadas, N., Nayak, G., & Shetty, K. K. (2022). A review on concrete's durability in India–Framework for performance-based approach. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 60, 545-551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.01.437>
- [41] Sharifi Ghalehnoei M, Behfarnia K. Enhancing the flexural capacity of reinforced concrete beams: A comprehensive review of inorganic composite solutions. *Journal of Composite Materials*. 2026;60(19):1811-1837. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219983251391411>